









OUR UNDERSTANDING OF COMPLEXITY

"A system, that can be decomposed in a set of elementary parts with autonomous behaviors, goals and attitudes and an environment, is complex if its modeling and related simulation tools cannot be done resorting to a set of whichever type of equations expressing the overall performance of the system, in terms of quantitative metrics, or of a function on the basis of state variables and other quantitative inputs."

E.Bompard - EES AS COMPLEX SYSTEMS - University of Klagenfurt



| EMERGING PAR | ADIGM OF EES | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Traditional paradigm: four subsystems. ① Generation (centralized) ② transmission ③ distribution ④ utilisation. The first three subsystems are devoted to assure "quality electricity" to the fourth. | | | | | | | |
| Emerging paradigm, "generation" is no longer only associated to subsystem 1 (with a limited number of large-sized generators) but also with subsystem ④ as the users become "prosumer" (producer / consumers - huge number of small-sized generators from renewable sources). Subsystem ③ becomes active (capable of injecting power) with the possibility of bidirectional power flows | | | | | | | |
| POWER SYSTEM → power flow centralized paradigm (traditiona) LEVEL 1 → power flow distributed paradigm (emerging) LEVEL 1 GENERATION → TRANSMISSION (centralised) LEVEL 2 (passive) UTILISATION DISTRIBUTION (active) → DISTRIBUTION | Level 1: Generation (centralised, large scale – hundreds of MW) + Transmission Level 2: Distribution + Utilisation (small scale – down | | | | | | |
| E.Bompard | to KW) | | | | | | |













EES AS MULTI-LAYER INTERACTING COMPLEX SYSTEMS (MLICS) operation and performances are related to various

- EES operation and performances are related to various interacting aspects that may be *social*, *psychological*, *technical*, *economic* and *environmental*
- EES may be schematized by three layers: *social*, *cyber* and *physical*.
- The layers interact among themselves and with external inputs to determine the overall performance of the system that can be measured by a set of meaningful metrics (energy savings, environmental pollution, market efficiency ...).
- The *overall "system control"* can be exerted only in terms of policy actions, implemented by laws and regulations (compelling, prohibiting, incentivising or de-incentivizing)



















- *Multitude of self-interested individuals* with different expectations and utility functions that provide a distributed decision making context with different goals.
- Policy makers, with considerations for global environment, energetic problems, social expectations, economic efficiency and security of supply, create a sets of targets, laws, rules and instruments for achieving global goals.

E.Bompard - EES AS COMPLEX SYSTEMS - University of Klagenfurt

COMPLEX ISSUES IN EES Individuals with constraints from policies and technical possibilities, and with considerations of economic terms from the other parts of the system to decide on the behaviour of himself so that he can get what is needed as both easy and economic as possible. The system operator, operates with constraints from policy makers and operational feasibility, and considering gathered information and expectations from individuals, to do the most reliable and economical operation for the system.

E.Bompard - EES AS COMPLEX SYSTEMS - University of Klagenfur

COMPLEX ISSUES IN EES

- The distributed decision making interacts with the network structure with physical (Kirchhoff's law) and operational constraints defining its (active and reactive) flows (flow networks).
- The states of the system (feasible/unfeasible, secure/unsecure reliable and unreliable, stable and instable, vulnerable and resilient, survivable and un-survivable performance) built in real time and in medium/long term are based on those distributed devices over its physical layer with a set of communication/control channels















































E.Bompard - EES AS COMPLEX SYSTEMS - University of Klagenfurt













| (Second | Case NC | e Stu DAL | dy∃ . C⊦ | II: ∧ IAR | letw GE | ork (EXA | Charg MPL | ging E | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---|
| STARTING | Max Forward Flow M (Max Demand | lax Backward Min Deman | Flow Cri | itical | Bran | ch | Charge / | Allocation | |
| | Min Generation) | Max Generati | ion | | | aige | 0 ∉/kW | 0 €/kW | |
| BRANCH | 1 58 MW | 0.2 MW | | 58 MW | 5.2 € /k | W | 5.2 <i>E</i> WW | -5.2 <i>Elk</i> W | |
| BRANCH2 | 8 MW | | | 10.7 MM | 6 7 Cl | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | J.2 4KW | -3.2 GRW | |
| +50 MW (+12.5MW) BU | S 2 | 12.7 MW | er oo ser ser oo ser oo ser ser oo | 12.7 10100 | 0.7 98 | | -1.5 € kW | 1.5 €/ kW | |
| | 3 13 MW | 2.3 MW | NE 100 00 100 00 00 100 100 100 100 | 13 MW | 4.3 € /k | (W | 2.9 <i>C</i> LM | 2.9. <i>C</i> IVN | |
| BRANCH 4 | 3 MW | 0.6 MW | | 3 MW | 11 €/ k | w | 2.0 €KW | -2.0 €KW | |
| (+2.5 MW) BUS 4 | 4 | Forward flow w | with "+" | A Backwar | d flow with | u_n | ρ | UR | 1 |
| -0.2 MW +3.2 MW (-1 MW) (+0.8 MW | n S | IGN OF CHA | RGES | | / | | | <u></u> | |
| BRANCH | | | | | | | | | |
| | Casi | itical Flow | | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | |
| | Lo | ad on busl | Pav | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | Lo | ad on bus3 | Pay | Get Paid | Pay | 0 | 13 | | |
| | Lo | ad on bus4 | Pay | Get Paid | Pay | Pay | L L | | |
| | Ge | en on bus2 (| <u>Get Paid</u> Get Paid | Pay Pay | 0 Get Paid | 0 Get Paid | -V | | |
| E.Bompard - EES AS CO | OMPLEX SYSTEMS - Univ | versity of Klagen | furt | | | 600 | Ś | | |









- Other than the regulatory pricing signals from DSO, prosumers are also charged for their energy consumption according to competitive market players (here represented by retailer's *Flatrate* and *Time-of-use* pricing).
- The power profile varying over the time with different power injection/withdrawal hour by hour may affect the outcome of the regulation thus 24-hour demand profile is also considered in this case study.
- Will DSO's network charging still be effective for regulating prosumer behaviors in competitive market with different retailer pricings and time-varying demand?
- Hereby we tested the performance under four combinations of regulator and retailer pricings in a 24-hour profile.
 ELBOMPART - EES AS COMPLEX SYSTEMS - University of Klagenfurt



Case Study III: MARKET VALIDATION **PERFOMANCE** (1) **Clustering society Retailer Price** 1) Flat-rate Time-of-Use Unique Price DSO Price Nodal Price MARCHAR MALL MALLMAN **Retailer** Price 2) Flat-rate Time-of-Use Unique Price DSO Price Nodal Price my man har man har man the min of lines will E.Bompard - EES AS COMPLEX SYSTEMS - University of Klagenfurd























